### Progress of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 41, No. 3, March 1969

## Five Classes of Transformations of Dirac Spinors

-The free-particle Dirac equation is brought to "po-, "p1-, "p2-, "p3- and "m-linear" forms-

## A. J. BRACKEN and H. A. COHEN

# Department of Mathematical Physics, University of Adelaide Adelaide, South Australia

#### (Received September 30, 1968)

The free-particle Dirac equation has two remarkable features: (1) It is linear in all four components of the energy-momentum  $p_{\mu}$ , and also in the mass m. (2) For its solutions there are five distinct simple modes of the invariant scalar product in the momentum representation.

In this paper, a theorem presented by Case is generalized and used to obtain five classes of transformations of the Dirac equation. Every transformation in a given class has two properties characteristic of the class: (1) The linearity in a corresponding one of the five quantities  $p_{\mu}$ , *m* is maintained in the transformed equation. (In this way " $p_0$ -, " $p_1$ -, " $p_2$ -, " $p_3$ and "*m*-linear" forms of the Dirac equation are obtained.) (2) A corresponding mode of the invariant scalar product is preserved. Thus all five classes consist of canonical transformations.

Included amongst the " $p_0$ -linear" forms are the Foldy-Wouthuysen-Tani equation, and the one commonly attributed to Cini and Touschek, together with equations appropriate to limiting situations other than the non-relativistic and extreme relativistic ones. The "canonical" form proposed by Chakrabarti is of the "*m*-linear" type. Belonging to all three of the " $p_1$ -, " $p_2$ - and " $p_3$ -linear" categories is a "**p**-linear" form of significance for large  $|\mathbf{p}|$ .

#### § 1. Introduction

Consider the free-particle Dirac equation for the four-component spinor function  $\psi^{(D)}(x)$ ,

$$(\gamma_{\mu}p^{\mu}-m)\phi^{(D)}=0, \qquad (1\cdot 1)$$

where

$$p_{\mu} = i\partial/\partial x^{\mu}, \qquad \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3;$$
 (1.2)

and  $\gamma_{\mu}$  are a set of  $4 \times 4$  matrices satisfying

$$\{\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\nu}\} = 2g_{\mu\nu} . \tag{1.3}$$

(We choose the diagonal metric with  $g_{00} = -g_{11} = -g_{22} = -g_{33} = 1$ ; and we take  $\gamma_0$ ,  $i\gamma_1$ ,  $i\gamma_2$ ,  $i\gamma_3$  and  $i\gamma_5$  ( $=i\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$ ) to be hermitean.) A primary feature of (1.1) is the similar (linear) footing given to all four components of the energy-momentum operator  $p_{\mu}$ .

This equation was transformed to so-called "canonical" form by Foldy

and Wouthuysen,<sup>1)</sup> and also independently by Tani.<sup>2)</sup> They showed that the wave function

$$\psi^{(F)}(x) = F(\mathbf{p}, m) \psi^{(D)}(x)$$
(1.4)

satisfies

$$p_{\mathrm{c}}\psi^{(F)} = E(\boldsymbol{p}, m)\gamma_{\mathrm{o}}\psi^{(F)}, \qquad (1\cdot 5)$$

where

$$F(\boldsymbol{p}, m) = [2E(\boldsymbol{p}, m) [m + E(\boldsymbol{p}, m)]]^{-1/2} (m + E(\boldsymbol{p}, m) + \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}), \quad (1 \cdot 6)$$

and

$$E(\mathbf{p}, m) = (m^2 + \mathbf{p}^2)^{1/2}.$$
 (1.7)

Foldy and Wouthuysen adopted the viewpoint that this procedure is a transformation of the Dirac equation in Hamiltonian form

$$b_0 \psi^{(D)} = H \psi^{(D)}, \qquad (1 \cdot 1')$$

where the Dirac Hamiltonian is

$$H = \gamma_0 (\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} + m), \qquad (1 \cdot 8)$$

to yield (1.5) in which the Hamiltonian

$$H^{(F)} = F(\boldsymbol{p}, m) HF^{-1}(\boldsymbol{p}, m) = E(\boldsymbol{p}, m)\gamma_0 \qquad (1 \cdot 9)$$

can be taken to be in diagonal form. [The inverse of the transformation operator is given by

$$F^{-1}(\mathbf{p}, m) = F(-\mathbf{p}, m).$$
] (1.10)

The form (1.5) has particular significance when one is considering the non-relativistic limit,  $|\mathbf{p}| \rightarrow 0$ .

In contrast to  $(1 \cdot 1)$ ,  $(1 \cdot 5)$  ascribes a distinctive role to  $p_0$ . There is another well-known form of the Dirac equation in which  $p_0$  again appears quite differently from  $p_i$ , i=1, 2, 3. This form was first put forward by Mendlowitz,<sup>3)</sup> and was later rediscovered by Cini and Touschek,<sup>4)</sup> and also by Bose, Gamba and Sudarshan.<sup>5)</sup> They showed that

$$\psi^{(M)}(x) = M(p, m)\psi^{(D)}(x)$$
(1.11)

satisfies

$$p_{0}\psi^{(M)} = E(\boldsymbol{p}, m) |\boldsymbol{p}|^{-1} \gamma_{0} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} \psi^{(M)}, \qquad (1 \cdot 12)$$

where

$$M(\mathbf{p}, m) = [2E(\mathbf{p}, m)[|\mathbf{p}| + E(\mathbf{p}, m)]]^{-1/2}(|\mathbf{p}| + E(\mathbf{p}, m) - |\mathbf{p}|^{-1}m\mathbf{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{p}). \quad (1 \cdot 13)$$

In this case

$$M(\boldsymbol{p}, m) H M^{-1}(\boldsymbol{p}, m) = E(\boldsymbol{p}, m) |\boldsymbol{p}|^{-1} \gamma_0 \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}, \qquad (1 \cdot 14)$$

where

818

$$M^{-1}(p, m) = M(-p, m).$$
 (1.15)

Equation (1.12) is often referred to as "the extreme relativistic form of the Dirac equation", because it is useful when considering the limit  $|p| \rightarrow \infty$ .

Apart from the Foldy-Wouthuysen-Tani and the Mendlowitz forms, which have been discussed by many authors,<sup>6)</sup> there is the "canonical" form as proposed by Chakrabarti.<sup>7)</sup> This is

$$\epsilon(p_0) (p_{\mu} p^{\mu})^{1/2} \gamma_0 \psi^{(C)} = m \psi^{(C)}, \qquad (1 \cdot 16)$$

with

$$\psi^{(C)}(x) = C(p)\psi^{(D)}(x), \qquad (1 \cdot 17)$$

where

$$C(p) = \left[2(p_{\mu}p^{\mu})^{1/2} \left[|p_{0}| + (p_{\nu}p^{\nu})^{1/2}\right]\right]^{-1/2} (|p_{0}| + (p_{\sigma}p^{\sigma})^{1/2} - \epsilon(p_{0})\gamma_{0}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\cdot\boldsymbol{p}), \quad (1 \cdot 18)$$

and

$$\epsilon(p_0) = |p_0|^{-1} p_0. \qquad (1 \cdot 19)$$

Downloaded from http://ptp.oxfordjournals.org/ by guest on September 17, 2014

To be more explicit,

$$C(p)\gamma_{\mu}p^{\mu}C^{-1}(p) = \epsilon(p_{0})(p_{\nu}p^{\nu})^{1/2}\gamma_{0}, \qquad (1\cdot 20)$$

where

$$C^{-1}(p_0, p) = C(p_0, -p).$$
 (1.21)

Reflecting on these results we are struck by the similarity in form of the transformation operators (1.6), (1.13) and (1.18), suggesting an underlying structure which has not been fully explored. While in (1.1) *m* and all four components of  $p_{\mu}$  appear linearly, we note that this is true only of  $p_0$  in (1.5) and (1.12), and only of *m* in (1.16). Consequently, labelling (1.5) and (1.12) as " $p_0$ -linear" forms, and (1.16) as an "*m*-linear" form, of the Dirac equation, we are led to ask if there are other transformations, of a similar structure to those already presented, leading to other " $p_{0-}$  or "*m*-linear" equations. Furthermore, can one obtain equations in which any one of the  $p_i$ , say  $p_3$ , appears linearly; that is, are there " $p_3$ -linear" forms? More importantly, if to either question the answer is yes, are all such transformations canonical?

Another question of more direct physical significance also arises. As has been mentioned, the " $p_0$ -linear" forms (1.5) and (1.12) are in some senses appropriate to the non-relativistic and extreme relativistic situations, respectively. We may then ask, assuming that canonical transformations leading to other " $p_0$ linear" equations can be obtained, if there are equations amongst these appropriate to other limiting situations; such as, for example, when only  $p_0$  and  $p_3$ become very large (as in a linear accelerator).

Our aim in this paper is to answer these questions. In  $\S 2$  we state a

theorem, which is a generalization of one given by Case.<sup>8)</sup> Then in what follows, we exploit the provision by this result of a method of transforming  $(1 \cdot 1)$  into a great variety of forms. In particular, in §§ 3, 4 and 5 respectively, we obtain "p<sub>0</sub>-linear" forms, of which (1.5) and (1.12) then appear as special cases; "mlinear" forms, of which (1.16) is a special case; and indeed " $p_3$ -linear" forms. Amongst the " $p_0$ -linear" forms are some appropriate to physical situations in different limits. In § 6, we obtain a "p-linear" form which, like the Mendlowitz equation, is most appropriate to the extreme relativistic limit. Finally, in §7, we go over into momentum representation to discuss the question of scalar products in order to establish that the transformations which yield " $p_0$ , " $p_i$ - and "m-linear" forms are canonical. We show that, for each of these five types of equations there is associated a mode of scalar product, invariant under inhomogeneous Lorentz transformations, and with respect to which the solutions of the corresponding equation may be taken to form a Hilbert space. The Dirac equation itself is at once of all five types, and accordingly, the scalar product for Dirac wave functions in momentum representation can be expressed in these five modes. The scalar products corresponding to " $p_{0}$ - and "*m*-linear" forms have simple expressions also in co-ordinate representation; but this is not the case for those corresponding to "pi-linear" forms.

In a subsequent publication we hope to exhibit all the results obtained here as manifestations of the group properties of the Dirac equation.

### § 2. Statement of transformation theorem

The main results of this paper are derived using the following theorem, whose proof, being elementary, is not presented:

Theorem: "Let A, B, be  $n \times n$  matrices, with

(a) 
$$A^2 = \alpha^2 I$$
,  $B^2 = \beta^2 I$ , (2.1)

where I is the  $n \times n$  unit matrix, and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are real non-zero scalars;

(b) 
$$\{B, A-B\} = 0$$
.  $(2 \cdot 2)$ 

Define

$$V(A, \alpha; B, \beta) = \beta^{-1} [2\alpha(\alpha + \beta)]^{-1/2} (\beta \alpha I + BA).$$
(2.3)

Then

(1) 
$$V^{-1}(A, \alpha; B, \beta) = \beta^{-1} [2\alpha(\alpha + \beta)]^{-1/2} (\alpha\beta I + AB)$$
 (2.4)

$$(=V(B, \alpha; A, \beta)); \qquad (2 \cdot 4')$$

(2) 
$$V(A, \alpha; B, \beta) A V^{-1}(A, \alpha; B, \beta) = \alpha \beta^{-1} B$$
." (2.5)

Note: 1. If A and B are hermitean matrices, then  $V(A, \alpha; B, \beta)$  is a unitary matrix, i.e.

Downloaded from http://ptp.oxfordjournals.org/ by guest on September 17, 2014

A. J. Bracken and H. A. Cohen

$$V^{-1}(A, \alpha; B, \beta) = V^{\dagger}(A, \alpha; B, \beta).$$
(2.6)

(This can only occur with  $\alpha^2 > \beta^2$ .)

2. Because

$$V(A, \alpha; B, \beta) = -V(A, -\alpha; B, -\beta), \qquad (2.7)$$

we shall henceforth take  $\alpha > 0$  without any significant loss of generality.

3. If α<sup>2</sup>=β<sup>2</sup>, the choice β>0 must also be made to ensure that [2α(α+β)]<sup>-1/2</sup> is well defined. Furthermore, if β<sup>2</sup>>α<sup>2</sup>, β<0, then this factor should be replaced by ±i[-2α(α+β)]<sup>-1/2</sup>, with the same choice of sign in (2·3) and (2·4).
 4. If α<sup>2</sup>>β<sup>2</sup>, one also has,

## (a) with $\beta > 0$ ,

$$V(A, \alpha; B, \beta) = \exp\left\{\frac{B(A-B)}{2\beta(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)^{1/2}} \arctan\left[(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)^{1/2}/\beta\right]\right\}; \qquad (2.8)$$

(b) with  $\beta < 0$ ,

$$V(A, \alpha; B, \beta) = \exp\left\{\frac{B(A-B)}{2\beta(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)^{1/2}} (\pi + \arctan[(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)^{1/2}/\beta])\right\}.$$
 (2.9)

5. If  $\beta^2 > \alpha^2$ ,  $\beta > 0$ , one also has

$$V(A, \alpha; B, \beta) = \exp\left\{\frac{B(A-B)}{2\beta(\beta^2 - \alpha^2)^{1/2}} \operatorname{arctanh}\left[(\beta^2 - \alpha^2)^{1/2}/\beta\right]\right\}.$$
 (2.10)

In this paper we shall have no need to consider the case  $\beta^2 > \alpha^2$ ,  $\beta < 0$ .

6. An extension may be made to the situation where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are commuting, hermitean operators, whose inverses are defined almost everywhere, and which also commute with both A and B. One is then faced with the difficulty of correctly interpreting the inverse square root of an operator expression, as in  $(2\cdot3)$  and  $(2\cdot4)$ .

7. If an equation holds of the form

$$C\varphi = A\varphi , \qquad (2 \cdot 11)$$

where  $\varphi$  is a vector in the space in which A and C act, and C commutes with  $V(A, \alpha; B, \beta)$ , then one obtains the equation

$$C\varphi' = \alpha\beta^{-1}B\varphi' \tag{2.12}$$

by defining

$$\varphi' = V(A, \alpha; B, \beta)\varphi. \qquad (2.13)$$

# § 3. " $p_0$ -linear" forms of the Dirac equation

Consider now the Dirac Hamiltonian H of  $(1 \cdot 8)$  as the operator A in the terms of § 2, taking

$$\alpha = E(\boldsymbol{p}, \, m). \tag{3.1}$$

Equation (1.1') is seen to be of the form (2.11), with  $C = p_0$ . Thus having found appropriate B and  $\beta$  satisfying the conditions of the theorem, one obtains, in the manner of (2.11) ~ (2.13), the " $p_0$ -linear" equation

$$p_0 \psi' = E(\mathbf{p}, m) \beta^{-1} B \psi', \qquad (3 \cdot 2)$$

with

$$\psi'(x) = V(A, \alpha; B, \beta)\psi^{(D)}(x). \tag{3.3}$$

Examples are provided by the following:-

Ģ

(a) Foldy-Wouthuysen-Tani form

Take

$$B = \gamma_0 m , \qquad \beta = m , \qquad (3 \cdot 4)$$

yielding

$$p_0 \psi' = E(\mathbf{p}, m) \gamma_0 \psi'. \tag{3.5}$$

In fact, in this case

$$V(A, \alpha; B, \beta) = F(\mathbf{p}, m). \tag{3.6}$$

(The choice  $\beta = -m$  is also possible, leading to a change of sign in (3.5), as seen from (3.2).)

(b) Mendlowitz form

Take

$$B = \gamma_0 \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} , \qquad \beta = |\boldsymbol{p}| \qquad (3 \cdot 7)$$

(noting that singular behaviour may be expected in this case as  $|p| \rightarrow 0$ ), yielding

$$p_{0}\psi' = E(\boldsymbol{p}, m) |\boldsymbol{p}|^{-1} \gamma_{0} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} \psi', \qquad (3 \cdot 8)$$

with, in this instance,

$$V(A, \alpha; B, \beta) = M(\mathbf{p}, m). \tag{3.9}$$

(Again the replacement of  $\beta$  by  $-\beta$  throughout is possible, with a change of sign in (3.8).)

(c) General "p<sub>0</sub>-linear" form Take

$$B = \gamma_0 (\gamma_1 q_{(1)} + \gamma_2 q_{(2)} + \gamma_3 q_{(3)} + q_{(4)}), \qquad \beta = \pm \{\sum_{a=1}^4 [q_{(a)}]^2\}^{1/2}, \qquad (3 \cdot 10)$$

where  $q_{(a)}(p, m)$  (a=1, 2, 3, 4) are hermitean, and satisfy

$$q_{(1)}[p_1 - q_{(1)}] + q_{(2)}[p_2 - q_{(2)}] + q_{(3)}[p_3 - q_{(3)}] + q_{(4)}[m - q_{(4)}] = 0.$$
 (3.11)

(The latter condition is necessary to ensure that  $(2 \cdot 2)$  holds; the former is imposed to ensure the hermiticity of  $\beta$ . Singular behaviour may be expected if  $\beta \rightarrow 0$  or  $\beta = 0$  is possible.) In this case, Eq. (3.2) reads

A. J. Bracken and H. A. Cohen

$$p_{0}\psi' = \pm E(\boldsymbol{p}, m) \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} [q_{(\alpha)}]^{2} \right\}^{-1/2} \gamma_{0} (\gamma_{1}q_{(1)} + \gamma_{2}q_{(2)} + \gamma_{3}q_{(3)} + q_{(4)}) \psi'.$$
(3.12)

It is possible to deduce from (3.11) and the hermiticity of  $q_{(\alpha)}$  (a=1, 2, 3, 4), that  $(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)$  is positive definite (or zero in the trivial case B=A); and this is of course also true of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta^2$ . Thus we can in general express  $V(A, \alpha; B, \beta)$  in the form (2.8), or (2.9), according as the plus or minus sign is taken in the definition of  $\beta$  in (3.10).

The Foldy-Wouthuysen-Tani and Mendlowitz cases now correspond to the particular choices (with  $\beta$  positive definite in each case)

$$q_{(1)} = q_{(2)} = q_{(3)} = 0$$
,  $q_{(4)} = m$ ; (3.13)

and

$$q_{(1)} = p_1, \qquad q_{(2)} = p_2, \qquad q_{(3)} = p_3, \qquad q_{(4)} = 0, \qquad (3 \cdot 14)$$

respectively.

## (d) Further simple cases

Other examples of some interest are provided by the following choices in (3.10) (again with  $\beta$  positive definite in each case):

(i)  $q_{(1)} = p_1$ ,  $q_{(2)} = 0$ ,  $q_{(3)} = 0$ ,  $q_{(4)} = m$ , (3.15)

leading to

$$p_0 \psi' = E(\mathbf{p}, m) \left[ (p_1)^2 + m^2 \right]^{-1/2} \gamma_0 (\gamma_1 p_1 + m) \psi'.$$
(3.16)

Downloaded from http://ptp.oxfordjournals.org/ by guest on September 17, 2014

(Useful when considering  $|p_{2,3}| \rightarrow 0.$ )

(ii) 
$$q_{(1)} = 0$$
,  $q_{(2)} = p_2$ ,  $q_{(3)} = p_3$ ,  $q_{(4)} = 0$ , (3.17)

leading to

$$p_0 \psi' = E(\mathbf{p}, m) \left[ (p_2)^2 + (p_3)^2 \right]^{-1/2} \gamma_0 (\gamma_2 p_2 + \gamma_3 p_3) \psi'.$$
 (3.18)

(Useful when considering  $|p_{2,3}| \rightarrow \infty$ .)

(iii) 
$$q_{(1)} = 0$$
,  $q_{(2)} = 0$ ,  $q_{(3)} = p_3$ ,  $q_{(4)} = 0$ , (3.19)

leading to

where

$$p_{0}\phi' = E(\mathbf{p}, m) |p_{3}|^{-1} \gamma_{0} \gamma_{3} p_{3} \phi'. \qquad (3 \cdot 20)$$

(Useful when considering  $|p_3| \rightarrow \infty$ .)

(iv) 
$$q_{(i)} = -K(\mathbf{p}, m)p_i$$
  $(i=1, 2, 3), q_{(4)} = K(\mathbf{p}, m)m, (3.21)$ 

 $K(p, m) = (m^2 - p^2) E^{-2}(p, m),$ 

leading to [with  $\beta = K(\mathbf{p}, m) E(\mathbf{p}, m)$ ]

$$p_0 \psi' = \gamma_0 (-\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} + m) \psi'. \qquad (3.23)$$

 $(3 \cdot 22)$ 

This equation is of interest in regard to the parity transformation for  $(1 \cdot 1')$ . One has

$$V(A, \alpha; B, \beta) = E^{-1}(\boldsymbol{p}, m) (m + \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}), \qquad (3 \cdot 24)$$

so that

$$\psi'(x) [= V(A, \alpha; B, \beta) \psi^{(D)}(x) ] = \epsilon (p_0) \gamma_0 \psi^{(D)}(x), \qquad (3 \cdot 25)$$

using  $(1 \cdot 1')$ . The relation to the usual parity transformation is clearly seen from  $(3 \cdot 25)$ . Another way of looking at the effect of this transformation is provided by the observation that

$$V(A, \alpha; B, \beta) = F^{2}(\boldsymbol{p}, m). \qquad (3 \cdot 26)$$

The effect of one F(p, m) on  $\psi^{(D)}(x)$  is to produce a function satisfying (3.5). If  $F^{-1}(p, m)$  is now applied, one of course returns to  $\psi^{(D)}(x)$ , satisfying (1.1'); but applying instead  $F^{-1}(-p, m)$ , one must produce a wave function satisfying (3.23), as (3.5) is unchanged if  $p \rightarrow -p$ . However, from (1.10) one sees that

$$F^{-1}(-p, m) = F(p, m),$$
 (1.10')

so that the operator  $F^2(p, m)$  transforms  $\psi^{(D)}(x)$  into a function satisfying (3.23).

## §4. "*m*-linear" forms

Now consider the Dirac operator  $\gamma_{\mu}p^{\mu}$  as the operator A in the terms of § 2, taking

$$\alpha = (p_{\mu} p^{\mu})^{1/2}. \tag{4.1}$$

In this case, Eq. (1.1) takes the form (2.11), with C=m. For appropriate B and  $\beta$  satisfying the conditions of the theorem, one then obtains the "*m*-linear" equation

$$m\psi' = (p_{\mu}p^{\mu})^{1/2}\beta^{-1}B\psi', \qquad (4\cdot 2)$$

with

$$\psi'(x) = V(A, \alpha; B, \beta) \psi^{(D)}(x).$$

$$(4 \cdot 3)$$

Examples are provided by:-

(a) Chakrabarti form

Take

$$B = \gamma_0 p_0, \qquad \beta = |p_0|, \qquad (4 \cdot 4)$$

yielding

$$m\psi' = \epsilon \left( p_0 \right) \left( p_\mu p^\mu \right)^{1/2} \gamma_0 \psi'. \tag{4.5}$$

In this case,

$$V(A, \alpha; B, \beta) = C(p). \tag{4.6}$$

(b) General "m-linear" form Take

$$B = \gamma_0 q_{(0)} - \gamma_1 q_{(1)} - \gamma_2 q_{(2)} - \gamma_3 q_{(3)}, \qquad \beta = \{ [q_{(0)}]^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{\circ} [q_{(i)}]^2 \}^{1/2} \qquad (4 \cdot 7)$$
$$(= [q_{(\nu)}q^{(\nu)}]^{1/2}),$$

where  $q_{(\nu)}(p)$   $(\nu=0, 1, 2, 3)^{*}$  are hermitean, are such that  $\beta^2$  is positive definite and satisfy

$$q_{(0)} \left[ p_0 - q_{(0)} \right] - q_{(1)} \left[ p_1 - q_{(1)} \right] - q_{(2)} \left[ p_2 - q_{(2)} \right] - q_{(3)} \left[ p_3 - q_{(3)} \right] = 0.$$
 (4.8)

In this case, Eq.  $(4 \cdot 2)$  reads

$$m\psi' = (p_{\mu}p^{\mu})^{1/2} [q_{(\nu)}q^{(\nu)}]^{-1/2} (\gamma_0 q_{(0)} - \gamma_1 q_{(1)} - \gamma_2 q_{(2)} - \gamma_3 q_{(3)}) \psi'.$$
(4.9)

It is possible to deduce from  $(4 \cdot 8)$ , the hermiticity of  $q_{(\nu)}$  ( $\nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ), and the positive definiteness of  $\beta^2$ , that  $(\beta^2 - \alpha^2)$  is also positive definite (or zero in the trivial case B=A), so that, with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  also being positive definite, one can always write  $V(A, \alpha; B, \beta)$  in the form (2.10).

The Chakrabarti form corresponds to the choice

$$q_{(0)} = p_0$$
,  $q_{(1)} = 0$ ,  $q_{(2)} = 0$ ,  $q_{(3)} = 0$ . (4.10)

(c) Further simple cases

Other particular choices of some interest are

(i) 
$$q_{(0)} = p_0$$
,  $q_{(1)} = p_1$ ,  $q_{(2)} = 0$ ,  $q_{(3)} = 0$ , (4.11)

leading to

$$m\psi' = (p_{\mu}p^{\mu})^{1/2} [(p_0)^2 - (p_1)^2]^{-1/2} (\gamma_0 p_0 - \gamma_1 p_1) \psi'.$$
 (4.12)

ii) 
$$q_{(0)} = W(p)p_0$$
,  $q_{(i)} = -W(p)p_i$   $(i=1, 2, 3)$ ,  $(4.13)$ 

where

$$W(p) = [(p_0)^2 + p^2] (p_{\mu} p^{\mu})^{-1}, \qquad (4 \cdot 14)$$

Downloaded from http://ptp.oxfordjournals.org/ by guest on September 17, 2014

leading to

$$m\psi' = (\gamma_0 p_0 + \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p})\psi', \qquad (4.15)$$

which again has reference to the parity transformation. One has

$$V(A, \alpha; B, \beta) = (p_{\mu}p^{\mu})^{-1/2} \epsilon (p_0) \gamma_0 (\gamma_{\nu}p^{\nu}), \qquad (4 \cdot 16)$$

so that (using  $(1 \cdot 1)$ ) again, as in  $(3 \cdot 25)$ ,

$$\psi'(x) = \epsilon(p_0) \gamma_0 \psi^{(D)}(x). \qquad (4 \cdot 17)$$

Furthermore,

<sup>\*)</sup> We place brackets around indices which may not be Lorentz indices. The distinction between the two types is well brought out in (4.10) for example, where  $q(\nu)$  is clearly not a fourvector operator,

Five Classes of Transformations of Dirac Spinors

$$V(A, \alpha; B, \beta) = C^{2}(p), \qquad (4 \cdot 18)$$

825

Downloaded from http://ptp.oxfordjournals.org/ by guest on September 17, 2014

a fact whose explanation is quite analogous to that following  $(3 \cdot 26)$ .

§ 5. " $p_3$ -linear" forms<sup>\*)</sup>

The Dirac equation can be written in the form

$$p_3 \psi^{(D)} = G \psi^{(D)}, \tag{5.1}$$

where

$$G = -\gamma_{3}(\gamma_{0}p_{0} - \gamma_{1}p_{1} - \gamma_{2}p_{2} - m).$$
 (5.2)

Taking G now as A in the terms of  $\S 2$ , with

$$\alpha = [(p_0)^2 - (p_1)^2 - (p_2)^2 - m^2]^{1/2} \quad (= \lambda (p_0, p_1, p_2, m), \text{ say}), \quad (5 \cdot 3)$$

one can again look for suitable B and  $\beta$ . Equation (5.1) is of the form (2.11) with  $C = p_3$ ; so that when B and  $\beta$  are found, one obtains the " $p_3$ -linear" equation

$$p_3\psi' = \lambda(p_0, p_1, p_2, m)\beta^{-1}B\psi', \qquad (5\cdot4)$$

with

$$\psi'(x) = V(A, \alpha; B, \beta) \psi^{(D)}(x).$$
(5.5)

(Note that singular behaviour may be expected as  $\lambda(p_0, p_1, p_2, m) \rightarrow 0$ .) Examples are provided by:—

(a) General "p<sub>3</sub>-linear" form Take

$$B = -\gamma_{3}(\gamma_{0}q_{(0)} - \gamma_{1}q_{(1)} - \gamma_{2}q_{(2)} - q_{(3)}), \qquad \beta = \{[q_{(0)}]^{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{3} [q_{(i)}]^{2}\}^{1/2} \qquad (5 \cdot 6)$$
$$(= [q_{(\nu)}q^{(\nu)}]^{1/2}),$$

where  $q_{(\nu)}(p_0, p_1, p_2, m)$   $(\nu = 0, 1, 2, 3)^{**}$  are hermitean, are such that  $\beta^2$  is positive definite, and satisfy

$$q_{(0)}[p_0 - q_{(0)}] - q_{(1)}[p_1 - q_{(1)}] - q_{(2)}[p_2 - q_{(2)}] - q_{(3)}[m - q_{(3)}] = 0.$$
 (5.7)

Then  $(5 \cdot 4)$  reads

$$p_{3}\psi' = -\lambda(p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}, m) \left[q_{(\nu)}q^{(\nu)}\right]^{-1/2} \gamma_{3}(\gamma_{0}q_{(0)} - \gamma_{1}q_{(1)} - \gamma_{2}q_{(2)} - q_{(3)})\psi'.$$
(5.8)

In analogy with the case of the general "*m*-linear" form,  $V(A, \alpha; B, \beta)$  here can always be written in the form  $(2 \cdot 10)$ .

- (b) A simple case
- A choice of  $q_{(\nu)}$  ( $\nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ) of some interest is

<sup>\*)</sup> By obvious alteration of the discussion in this section of " $p_3$ -linear" cases, analogous results for " $p_1$ - and " $p_2$ -linear" cases can be derived.

<sup>\*\*)</sup> See the footnote on p. 824.

$$q_{(0)} = p_0, \quad q_{(1)} = 0, \quad q_{(2)} = 0, \quad q_{(3)} = 0, \quad (5 \cdot 9)$$

leading to

$$p_{3}\psi' = \epsilon(p_{0})\lambda(p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}, m)\gamma_{0}\gamma_{3}\psi', \qquad (5\cdot10)$$

which is the simplest " $p_3$ -linear" form one can obtain.

## $\S$ 6. Further applications of transformation theorem

We apply the theorem in yet another way to obtain from the Dirac equation an interesting "p-linear" form:—

Multiply  $(1 \cdot 1)$  by  $\gamma_5$  to obtain

$$\gamma_{5}(\gamma_{0}p_{0}-m)\psi^{(D)}=\gamma_{5}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\cdot\boldsymbol{p}\psi^{(D)}.$$
(6.1)

Now apply the theorem with

$$A = \gamma_5(\gamma_0 p_0 - m), \qquad \alpha = [(p_0)^2 - m^2]^{1/2}; \qquad (6 \cdot 2)$$

$$B = \gamma_5 \gamma_0 p_0, \qquad \beta = |p_0|, \qquad (6.3)$$

(and  $C = \gamma_{5} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}$ ), to obtain

$$\epsilon(p_0) \left[ (p_0)^2 - m^2 \right]^{1/2} \gamma_5 \gamma_0 \psi' = \gamma_5 \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} \psi', \qquad (6 \cdot 4)$$

or, finally,

$$= (p_0) \left[ (p_0)^2 - m^2 \right]^{1/2} \gamma_0 \psi' = \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} \psi'. \tag{6.4'}$$

This equation is similar in appearance to the Mendlowitz form  $(1 \cdot 12)$ , and is just as useful in considering the limit  $|\mathbf{p}| \rightarrow \infty$ . In both cases, states of positive and negative helicity are separately described by two component equations. In  $(6 \cdot 4')$ , the transformed wave function is

$$\psi'(x) = V(A, \alpha; B, \beta) \psi^{(D)}(x), \qquad (6.5)$$

where, explicitly,

$$V(A, \alpha; B, \beta) = \{2[(p_0)^2 - m^2]^{1/2} (|p_0| + [(p_0)^2 - m^2]^{1/2})\}^{-1/2} \times (|p_0| + [(p_0)^2 - m^2]^{1/2} - \epsilon(p_0) m\gamma_0).$$
(6.6)

Note that  $(6 \cdot 4')$  is in particular " $p_3$ -linear", and accordingly an equation of this form could have been obtained via the method of § 5(a).

As regards applications of the theorem other than to the free-particle Dirac equation, we mention that Case<sup>8)</sup> has applied his restricted version in dealing with free-particle equations for spin 0 and 1, and with one-particle equations in situations involving electro-magnetic interactions. An example of the theorem's implicit use is contained in a paper by Biedenharn.<sup>9)</sup>

### § 7. Scalar products

It may be said that a form of the Dirac equation is of limited value unless one can exhibit the solutions thereof as forming a Hilbert space which carries

the appropriate representations of the full inhomogeneous Lorentz group for the description of a free spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  particle (and anti-particle) of mass m. Thus it is important to find an invariant scalar product for solutions of a given form of the equation. It is in fact possible to do this for each of the three general forms discussed in §§ 3, 4 and 5.

To consider this question, it is convenient to go over into momentum representation. Thus we write

$$\psi^{(D)}(x_0, \mathbf{x}) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int \frac{d^3k}{E(\mathbf{k}, m)} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \{ e^{-iE(\mathbf{k}, m)x_0} \chi^{(D)+}(\mathbf{k}) + e^{+iE(\mathbf{k}, m)x_0} \chi^{(D)-}(\mathbf{k}) \},$$
(7.1)

where

$$(\gamma_{\mu}k^{\mu} - m)\chi^{(D)\pm} = 0, \qquad (7\cdot 2)$$

with

$$k_0 \chi^{(D)\pm} = \pm E(\boldsymbol{k}, m) \chi^{(D)\pm}.$$
(7.3)

Conversely to  $(7 \cdot 1)$ , one has

(

$$\chi^{(D)\pm}(\mathbf{k}) = e^{\pm iE(\mathbf{k},m)x_0} \frac{1}{2} \left[ E(\mathbf{k},m) \pm p_0 \right] (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int d^3x e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot x} \psi^{(D)}(x_0,x). \quad (7\cdot 4)$$

Now the scalar product for Dirac wave functions in coordinate representation is well known as

$$(\psi_1^{(D)}, \psi_2^{(D)}) = \int_{x_0 \text{ const}} d^3 x \psi_1^{(D)\dagger}(x_0, x) \psi_2^{(D)}(x_0, x), \qquad (7.5)$$

where  $\psi^{(D)\dagger}$  is the hermitean conjugate of  $\psi^{(D)}$ . This scalar product is invariant under inhomogeneous Lorentz transformations. It can also be written in the form<sup>10</sup>

$$(\psi_{1}^{(D)},\psi_{2}^{(D)}) = \frac{i}{2m} \int_{x_{0} \text{ const}} d^{3}x \left\{ \overline{\psi}_{1}^{(D)}(x_{0},\boldsymbol{x}) \frac{\partial \psi_{2}^{(D)}(x_{0},\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_{0}} - \frac{\partial \overline{\psi}_{1}^{(D)}(x_{0},\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_{0}} \psi_{2}^{(D)}(x_{0},\boldsymbol{x}) \right\},$$
(7.6)

where

$$\overline{\psi}^{(D)} = \psi^{(D)\dagger} \gamma_0 . \tag{7.7}$$

Using  $(7 \cdot 1)$  one finds<sup>11</sup>

$$(\psi_1^{(D)},\psi_2^{(D)}) = \int \frac{d^3k}{E^2(k,m)} \{\chi_1^{(D)+\dagger}(k)\chi_2^{(D)+}(k) + \chi_1^{(D)-\dagger}(k)\chi_2^{(D)-}(k)\}.$$
 (7.8)

(The cross terms vanish because of the hermiticity of the operator  $E^{-1}(\mathbf{k}, m)\gamma_0(\mathbf{\gamma}\cdot\mathbf{k}+m)$ , which has different eigenvalues [viz. +1, -1 respectively] on  $\chi^{(D)+}$  and  $\chi^{(D)-}$ .)

From  $(7 \cdot 2)$  it is possible to obtain the identity<sup>12)</sup>

Downloaded from http://ptp.oxfordjournals.org/ by guest on September 17, 2014

A. J. Bracken and H. A. Cohen

$$k_{\mu}\bar{\chi}_{1}^{(D)\pm}(\boldsymbol{k})\chi_{2}^{(D)\pm}(\boldsymbol{k}) = m\bar{\chi}_{1}^{(D)\pm}(\boldsymbol{k})\gamma_{\mu}\chi_{2}^{(D)\pm}(\boldsymbol{k}).$$
(7.9)

In particular,

$$k_0 \bar{\chi}_1^{(D)\pm}(k) \chi_2^{(D)\pm}(k) = m \chi_1^{(D)\pm\dagger}(k) \chi_2^{(D)\pm}(k), \qquad (7 \cdot 9')$$

allowing one to deduce<sup>11)</sup> from  $(7 \cdot 8)$ ,

$$(\psi_1^{(D)},\psi_2^{(D)}) = \int \frac{d^3k}{mE(k,m)} \{ \bar{\chi}_1^{(D)+}(k) \chi_2^{(D)+}(k) - \bar{\chi}_1^{(D)-}(k) \chi_2^{(D)-}(k) \}.$$
(7.10)

Furthermore, one can now use

$$k_{3}\bar{\chi}_{1}^{(D)\pm}(\boldsymbol{k})\chi_{2}^{(D)\pm}(\boldsymbol{k}) = m\bar{\chi}_{1}^{(D)\pm}(\boldsymbol{k})\gamma_{3}\chi_{2}^{(D)\pm}(\boldsymbol{k}), \qquad (7\cdot9'')$$

to deduce from  $(7 \cdot 10)$ ,

$$(\psi_1^{(D)},\psi_2^{(D)}) = \int \frac{d^3k}{E(k,m)k_3} \{ \overline{\chi}_1^{(D)+}(k) \gamma_3 \chi_2^{(D)+}(k) - \overline{\chi}_1^{(D)-}(k) \gamma_3 \chi_2^{(D)-}(k) \}. \quad (7.11)$$

The three modes  $(7\cdot8)$ ,  $(7\cdot10)$  and  $(7\cdot11)$  of the scalar product for Dirac wave functions are of particular significance in regard to " $p_{0}$ -, "m-, and " $p_{3}$ -linear" forms, respectively, of the Dirac equation.

For the general " $p_0$ -linear" case [§ 3(c)] in momentum representation, one has

$$A = \gamma_0 (\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{k} + m), \qquad \alpha = E(\boldsymbol{k}, m); \qquad (7 \cdot 12)$$

Downloaded from http://ptp.oxfordjournals.org/ by guest on September 17, 2014

$$B = \gamma_0 (\gamma_1 q_{(1)} + \gamma_2 q_{(2)} + \gamma_3 q_{(3)} + q_{(4)}), \qquad \beta = \pm \{\sum_{a=1}^4 [q_{(a)}]^2\}^{1/2}, \qquad (7 \cdot 13)$$

with  $q_{(a)}(\mathbf{k}, m)$  (a=1, 2, 3, 4) real. Thus A and B are hermitean matrices, so that  $V(A, \alpha; B, \beta)$  is a unitary matrix [cf.  $(2 \cdot 6)$ ]. Defining

$$(\psi_1',\psi_2') = \int \frac{d^3k}{E^2(k,m)} \{ \chi_1'^{+\dagger}(k) \chi_2'^{+}(k) + \chi_1'^{-\dagger}(k) \chi_2'^{-}(k) \}, \qquad (7\cdot 14)$$

with

 $\chi^{\prime \pm}(\boldsymbol{k}) = V(A, \, \alpha; \, B, \, \beta) \chi^{(D)\pm}(\boldsymbol{k}) \tag{7.15}$ 

[so that  $\chi'^{\pm}(\mathbf{k})$  are related to  $\psi'(x)$  of (3.12) in the manner of (7.1), (7.4)], one then has, from (7.8),

$$(\phi_1', \phi_2') = (\phi_1^{(D)}, \phi_2^{(D)}). \tag{7.16}$$

For the general "*m*-linear" case  $[\S 4(b)]$ , one has

$$A = \gamma_{\mu} k^{\mu} , \qquad \alpha = (k_{\mu} k^{\mu})^{1/2} ; \qquad (7 \cdot 17)$$

$$B = \gamma_0 q_{(0)} - \gamma_1 q_{(1)} - \gamma_2 q_{(2)} - \gamma_3 q_{(3)}, \qquad \beta = [q_{(\nu)} q^{(\nu)}]^{1/2}, \qquad (7 \cdot 18)$$

with  $q_{(\nu)}(k)$  ( $\nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ) real. Thus A and B satisfy

$$\gamma_0 A^{\dagger} = A \gamma_0, \qquad \gamma_0 B^{\dagger} = B \gamma_0 , \qquad (7 \cdot 19)$$

enabling one to deduce that

$$\gamma_0 V^{\dagger}(A, \alpha; B, \beta) = V^{-1}(A, \alpha; B, \beta) \gamma_0.$$
(7.20)

(Note that  $A^{\dagger}$  for example means here the usual matrix hermitean conjugate of A; there is no reference to a particular mode of Dirac scalar product.) Defining

$$(\psi_1',\psi_2') = \int \frac{d^3k}{mE(k,m)} \{ \bar{\chi}_1'^+(k) \chi_2'^+(k) - \bar{\chi}_1'^-(k) \chi_2'^-(k) \}, \qquad (7\cdot 21)$$

with, again,

$$\chi^{\prime\pm}(\boldsymbol{k}) = V(A,\,\alpha;\,B,\,\beta)\,\chi^{(D)\pm}(\boldsymbol{k})\,,\qquad(7\cdot22)$$

one has, from  $(7 \cdot 20)$ ,  $(7 \cdot 10)$ ,

$$(\psi_1', \psi_2') = (\psi_1^{(D)}, \psi_2^{(D)}).$$
 (7.23)

Finally, for the general " $p_3$ -linear" case [§ 5(a)], one has

$$A = -\gamma_{3}(\gamma_{0}k_{0} - \gamma_{1}k_{1} - \gamma_{2}k_{2} - m), \qquad \alpha = \lambda(k_{0}, k_{1}, k_{2}, m); \qquad (7 \cdot 24)$$

$$B = -\gamma_{3}(\gamma_{0}q_{(0)} - \gamma_{1}q_{(1)} - \gamma_{2}q_{(2)} - q_{(3)}), \qquad \beta = [q_{(\nu)}q^{(\nu)}]^{1/2}, \qquad (7 \cdot 25)$$

with  $q_{(\nu)}(k_0, k_1, k_2, m)$  ( $\nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ) real. Then A and B satisfy

$$\gamma_0 \gamma_3 A^{\dagger} = A \gamma_0 \gamma_3 , \qquad \gamma_0 \gamma_3 B^{\dagger} = B \gamma_0 \gamma_3 , \qquad (7 \cdot 26)$$

whence one deduces

$$\gamma_0 \gamma_3 V^{\dagger}(A, \alpha; B, \beta) = V^{-1}(A, \alpha; B, \beta) \gamma_0 \gamma_3 .^{*)}$$

$$(7.27)$$

Defining

$$(\psi_{1}',\psi_{2}') = \int \frac{d^{3}k}{E(\boldsymbol{k},m)k_{3}} \{ \bar{\chi}_{1}'^{+}(\boldsymbol{k})\gamma_{3}\chi_{2}'^{+}(\boldsymbol{k}) - \bar{\chi}_{1}'^{-}(\boldsymbol{k})\gamma_{3}\chi_{2}'^{-}(\boldsymbol{k}) \}, \qquad (7\cdot28)$$

with

$$\chi^{\prime\pm}(\boldsymbol{k}) = V(A,\,\alpha;\,B,\,\beta)\,\chi^{(D)\pm}(\boldsymbol{k})\,,\tag{7.29}$$

one has, from  $(7 \cdot 27)$ ,  $(7 \cdot 11)$ ,

$$(\psi_1', \psi_2') = (\psi_1^{(D)}, \psi_2^{(D)}).$$
 (7.30)

The Dirac wave functions form a Hilbert space with the associated Lorentzinvariant scalar product (7.8) [(7.10), (7.11)]. The results (7.16), (7.23)and (7.30) show that in transforming the Dirac equation into a " $p_0$ -, "*m*- or " $p_3$ -linear" form<sup>\*\*)</sup> via the use of an operator  $V(A, \alpha; B, \beta)$ , one is in effect performing a canonical transformation to a new description of the free spin  $\frac{1}{2}$ particle (and anti-particle) of mass *m*. The solutions of the derived equation form a Hilbert space with associated scalar product (7.14), (7.21) or (7.28)

\*) Note that this is also true for  $V(A, \alpha; B, \beta)$  as in (6.6). The following results for " $p_3$ -linear" forms thus also hold for (6.4').

829

Downloaded from http://ptp.oxfordjournals.org/ by guest on September 17, 2014

<sup>\*\*)</sup> See the first footnote on p. 825.

as the case may be; and this scalar product is invariant under inhomogenous Lorentz transformations as represented in the new picture. Just as the Hilbert space of Dirac wave functions carries the appropriate representations of the full inhomogeneous Lorentz group, so also this is true of the derived space.

Note that the unitarity of the matrix  $V(A, \alpha; B, \beta)$  in the general " $p_0$ -linear" case is in this context of no more and no less significance than the relationships (7.20) and (7.27); it is in particular misleading to refer to the Foldy-Wouthuysen-Tani transformation, but not the Chakrabarti one, as unitary.

In closing, we point out that the scalar products  $(7 \cdot 14)$  and  $(7 \cdot 21)$  also have simple forms in the co-ordinate representation, viz.

$$\psi_{1}', \psi_{2}') = \int_{x_{0}} d^{3}x \psi_{1}'^{\dagger}(x_{0}, \boldsymbol{x}) \psi_{2}'(x_{0}, \boldsymbol{x}), \qquad (7 \cdot 31)$$

Downloaded from http://ptp.oxfordjournals.org/ by guest on September 17, 2014

corresponding to  $(7 \cdot 14)$ ; and

$$(\psi_1',\psi_2') = \frac{i}{2m} \int_{x_0 \text{ const}} d^3x \left\{ \overline{\psi}_1'(x_0, \mathbf{x}) - \frac{\partial \psi_2'(x_0, \mathbf{x})}{\partial x_0} - \frac{\partial \overline{\psi}_1'(x_0, \mathbf{x})}{\partial x_0} \psi_2'(x_0, \mathbf{x}) \right\}, \quad (7\cdot32)$$

corresponding to  $(7 \cdot 21)$ . There is no simple form in the co-ordinate representation of the scalar products corresponding to " $p_i$ -linear" forms.

### Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Professors H. S. Green and C. A. Hurst and members of their department for providing a stimulating atmosphere in which to work. One of us (A.J.B.) wishes to thank Professor Green in particular for many fruitful discussions on related topics, and also to acknowledge the financial support provided by a C.S.I.R.O. Senior Postgraduate Studentship.

#### References

- 1) L. L. Foldy and S. A. Wouthuysen, Phys. Rev. 78 (1950), 29. The transformation was in fact earlier proposed by M. H. L. Pryce, Proc. Roy. Soc. 195A (1948), 62.
- 2) S. Tani, Prog. Theor. Phys. 6 (1951), 267.
- 3) H. Mendlowitz, Phys. Rev. 102 (1956), 527. (In this paper, the operator (1.13) is given, but in a quite different form.)
- 4) M. Cini and B. Touschek, Nuovo Cim. 7 (1958), 422.
- 5) S. K. Bose, A. Gamba and E. C. G. Sudarshan, Phys. Rev. 113 (1959), 1661.
- 6) K. M. Case, Phys. Rev. 100 (1955), 1513.
  - L. L. Foldy, Phys. Rev. 102 (1956), 568.
  - C. L. Hammer and R. H. Good, Jr., Phys. Rev. 108 (1957), 882.
  - H. Mendlowitz, Am. J. Phys. 26 (1958), 17.
  - D. L. Pursey, Nucl. Phys. 8 (1958), 595.
  - L. M. Garrido and P. Pascual, Nuovo Cim. 12 (1959), 181.
  - P. Y. Pac, Prog. Theor. Phys. 21 (1959), 640; 22 (1959), 857.
  - C. Fronsdal, Phys. Rev. 113 (1959), 1367.
  - R. Acharya and E. C. G. Sudarshan, J. Math. Phys. 1 (1960), 532.

J. J. Giambiagi, Nuovo Cim. 16 (1960), 202.

M. E. Rose and R. H. Good, Jr., Nuovo Cim. 22 (1961), 565.

D. M. Fradkin and R. H. Good, Jr., Rev. Mod. Phys. 33 (1961), 343.

- C. G. Bollini and J. J. Giambiagi, Nuovo Cim. 21 (1961), 107.
- P. M. Mathews and A. Sankaranarayanan, Prog. Theor. Phys. 26 (1961), 1, 499; 27 (1962), 1063.

831

Downloaded from http://ptp.oxfordjournals.org/ by guest on September 17, 2014

- R. H. Good, Jr. and M. E. Rose, Nuovo Cim. 24 (1962), 864.
- L. M. Garrido and J. Sesma, Am. J. Phys. 30 (1962), 887.
- M. Baktavatsalou, Nuovo Cim. 25 (1962), 964.

F. Strocchi, Nuovo Cim. 26 (1962), 955.

- T. F. Jordan and N. Mukunda, Phys. Rev. 132 (1963), 1842.
- J. Sesma, J. Biel and L. M. Garrido, Am. J. Phys. 32 (1964), 559.
- A. Sankaranarayanan, Nuovo Cim. 32 (1964), 1715.
- D. L. Pursey, Nucl. Phys. 53 (1964), 174.
- D. L. Weaver, C. L. Hammer and R. H. Good, Jr., Phys. Rev. 135 (1964), B241.
- R. Shaw, Nuovo Cim. 33 (1964), 1074.
- I. Saavedra, Nucl. Phys. 74 (1965), 677.
- D. L. Pursey, Ann. of Phys. 32 (1965), 157.
- J. A. McClure and D. L. Weaver, Nuovo Cim. 38 (1965), 530.
- M. Kolsrud, Phys. Norv. 2 (1967), 351.
- A. Chakrabarti, J. Math. Phys. 4 (1963), 1215, 1223. (The operator C(p) differs in a small but significant way from the operator Λ<sup>-1</sup>(p) given by R. H. Good, Jr. and M. E. Rose, Nuovo Cim. 24 (1962), 864.) See also J. Sesma, J. Math. Phys. 7 (1966), 1300.
- 8) K. M. Case, Phys. Rev. 95 (1954), 1323.
- 9) L. C. Biedenharn, Phys. Rev. 126 (1962), 845. The example is provided by the use of the transformation S in the diagonalization of the operator  $\Gamma$ .
- 10) See for example J. Hilgevoord and S. A. Wouthuysen, Nucl. Phys. 40 (1963), 1.
- 11) V. Bargmann and E. P. Wigner, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S. **34** (1948), 211. (See (16) and (18a) therein.)
- 12) The proof is a simple extension of that for the case  $\chi_1^{(D)\pm} = \chi_2^{(D)\pm}$  as given for example in S. S. Schweber, An Introduction to Relativistic Quantum Field Theory (Row Peterson & Company, New York, 1961), Chapter 4, preceding Equation (129).